WIDLER EXPARTE OR LATE FILED

BERLI MOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CATHERINE WANG
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

DIRECT DIAL (202)424-7837

RECEIVED

MAY 1 9 1993

May 19, 1993

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Telesciences, Inc., Harris Corporation - Farinon Division, Digital Microwave Corporation <u>Ex Parte Notice in ET Docket No. 92-9</u>

Dear Ms. Searcy:

On behalf of Telesciences, Inc., Harris Corporation - Farinon Division, and Digital Microwave Corporation (the "Joint Commenters") and pursuant to the <u>ex parte</u> requirements of Sections 1.1202, 1.1203 and 1.1206(a), 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1202, 1.1203 and 1.1206(a), we hereby advise the Commission that an <u>ex parte</u> presentation was made via telephone by the Joint Commenters on May 18, 1993, to the staff of the Office of Engineering and Technology in connection with the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in ET Docket 92-9.

Specifically, in a telephone conversation with OET staff, the Joint Commenters further clarified and explained certain technical features of the Joint Commenters' proposed modifications to the channelization plan and rules proposed in the Commission's Further Notice. In that discussion, the Joint Commenters confirmed that their proposed channelization plan already represents a compromise plan to the extent that it will allow all existing vendors to continue providing equipment without disproportionate disadvantages or benefits to any one manufacturer. The Joint Commenters also explained that any plan by the Commission to adopt different channelization plans for the 4, 6, 10 and 11 GHz bands as a means to fashioning a compromise between the Alcatel-based proposal in the Commission's Notice and the proposed TIA Plan would be unworkable, adverse to users, and contrary to the public interest.

No. of Copies rec'd C+ List A B C D E

3000 K STREET, N.W. ■ SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007-5116

Ms. Donna R. Searcy May 19, 1993 Page 2

Any questions regarding this matter should be directed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Catherine Wang

cc: Dr. Tom Stanley
Mr. Paul Marangoni

Mr. David Siddall
Mr. Rodney Small
Andrew D. Lipman, Esq.
Leonard R. Raish, Esq.

EX-PARTE COMMENTS

Spectrum Utilized for Replacement of 2 GHz Radios								
Installed Base	2 GHz Channels	TIA Max. Plan		TIA Min. Plan		Alcatel Plan		
(Transmitters)	(F#Hz)	Channel B/W	Spectrum Used	Channel B/W	Spectrum Used	Channel B/W	Spectrum Used	
		(MHz)	(GHz)	(MB-lz)	(GHz)	(MHz)	(GHz)	
6,700	3.8	1.25	8.375	1.25	8.375	08.0	5.300	
7,000	1.6	2.50	17.500	1.25	8.750	1.60	11.200	
10,000	3.5	3.75	37.500	2.50	25.000	5.00	50.000	
-	į į		63.375		42.125		66.560	

50% of the .8 and 1.13 MHz users are not required to move because they are state and local government users.

Users have a choice on how to use the spectrum under the TIA plan.

Unitization	TIA	ALCATEL
2 DS1	1.25	0.8
		4.5
4 DS1	1.25	1.6
4 DS1	2.5	
1		
8 DS1	2.5	3.2
8 DS1	3.75	
1		
12 DS 1	3.75	5
12 D 9 1	5	

There is no way to use both plans.

It has already been elemonstrated an overlay plan like Alcatel proposed at 10 GHz wastes spectrum, by producing fallow spectrum.

Spectrum splitting does not work either because one plan could have more free spectrum than the other plan. Everyone has to have an equal opportunity to use all the spectrum available.

A band split does not work either. A 1.6 channel and 3.75 channel plan would also produce fallow spectrum. One 3.75 channel would wipe out three 1.6 channels, letting 1.05 MHz lay fallow. Alcatel could still stack 1.6 channels to get 3.2 channels which would deplete 1.6 channels.

EX-PARTE COMMENTS

Alcatel six hurt by the TIA Plan. At altel has not stated in their comments that they cannot use the TIA Plan. Alcatel

has not ck it lied any harm under the 1 IA Plan.

Alcatel 'ull / varticipated in the TIA me atir gs, in fact chaired the committee, to be sure they could work under the TIA Plan

Alcatel au ratiose under either plan. But under their plan they have a big win.

Alcatel stair is they are a US company but 4 of the 5 radios they are obsoleting (the DTR series of radios) are designed

and me nur a tured in Milan, Italy. What a ther radios will be imported into the US?

Alcatel can always us I tile TiA channel plan without any modifications to their radios.

" HE: 1A PLAN IS A COMPRE MESE PLAN TO ACCOMMODATE ALL MANUFACTURERS AND USERS.

		<u> </u>	
	•	PLA 1	ALCATEL PLAN
Joint Cox um r	∋ 12'	WH	LOSE
ALCATE .		MI	WN
n ntc xqS ass.		W	LOSE
3 tac) teawo.	stems	WI	LOSE
3ect Pall Ri ii	bility	Wit	LOSE
Aost Eq. ipn e	t Available	Wit	LOSE
Abet Sut pile n	Ava ilable	WP	LOSE
leuse of 2G +	modems	WP	LOSE
tse exist ng q	¥es	Wr	LOSE

WHO WINS AND LOSES?

ALL MANUFACTURIERS WIN WITHE TIA PLAN
THE FCC WINS UNDER THE TIA PLAN
PCS PROVIDERS WIN UNDER THE TIA PLAN

AND THE USERS ARE THE
BIGGEST WINNERS
UNDER THE TIA PLAN !!!
Everyone size losse If Alcatel wins.